

Theta Functions for $SL(n)$ versus $GL(n)$

Ron Donagi and Loring W. Tu

November 1, 1992

- §1. Theta bundles
- §2. A Galois covering
- §3. Pullbacks
- §4. Proof of Theorem 1
- §5. A conjectural duality

Over a smooth complex projective curve C of genus g one may consider two types of moduli spaces of vector bundles, $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(n, d)$, the moduli space of semistable bundles of rank n and degree d on C , and $\mathcal{SM} := \mathcal{SM}(n, L)$, the moduli space of those bundles whose determinant is isomorphic to a fixed line bundle L on C . We call the former a *full moduli space* and the latter a *fixed-determinant moduli space*. Since the spaces $\mathcal{SM}(n, L)$ are all isomorphic as L varies in $\text{Pic}^d(C)$, we also write $\mathcal{SM}(n, d)$ to denote any one of them.

On both moduli spaces there are well-defined *theta bundles*, as we recall in Section 1. While the theta bundle θ on $\mathcal{SM}$ is uniquely defined, the theta bundles θ_F on $\mathcal{M}$ depend on the choice of complementary vector bundles F of minimal rank over C . For any positive integer k , sections of θ_F^k generalize the classical theta functions of level k on the Jacobian of a curve, and so we call sections of θ^k over $\mathcal{SM}$ and θ_F^k over $\mathcal{M}$ *theta functions of level k for $SL(n)$ and $GL(n)$ respectively*.

Our goal is to study the relationship between these two spaces of theta functions. We prove a simple formula relating their dimensions, and then formulate a conjectural duality between these two spaces.

Theorem 1 *If $h = \gcd(n, d)$ is the greatest common divisor of n and d , and $L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$, then*

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{SM}(n, L), \theta^k) \cdot k^g = \dim H^0(\mathcal{M}(n, d), \theta_F^k) \cdot h^g.$$

Faltings [F] has proven the Verlinde formula for semisimple groups, which gives in particular the dimension of $H^0(\mathcal{SM}, \theta^k)$. The dimension of $H^0(\mathcal{M}, \theta_F^k)$ is thus determined by Theorem 1. When $k = 1$ and $d = 0$, [BNR] computes explicitly the two spaces in Theorem 1. Their result is a forerunner of Theorem 1.

Theorem 1 is consistent with and therefore lends credence to another, so far conjectural, relationship between these two types of theta functions. To explain this, start with integers $\bar{n}, \bar{d}, h, k$ such that $\bar{n}, h, k$ are positive and $\gcd(\bar{n}, \bar{d}) = 1$. Let $F \in \mathcal{M}(\bar{n}, \bar{d})$ and write

$$\mathcal{SM}_1 = \mathcal{SM}(h\bar{n}, (\det F)^h) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}(k\bar{n}, k(\bar{n}(g-1) - \bar{d})).$$

The tensor product map τ sends $\mathcal{SM}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$ to $\mathcal{M}(hk\bar{n}^2, hk\bar{n}^2(g-1))$.

Conjecture 2 *The tensor product map induces a natural duality between $H^0(\mathcal{SM}_1, \theta^k)$ and $H^0(\mathcal{M}_2, \theta_F^h)$.*

For further discussion of this duality, including supporting evidence, see Section 5.

Notation and Conventions.

$$h^0(\) = \dim H^0(\)$$

$$J_d = \text{Pic}^d(C) = \{\text{isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree } d \text{ on } C\}$$

$$J = J_0 = \text{Pic}^0(C)$$

$$L_1 \boxtimes L_2 = \pi_1^* L_1 \otimes \pi_2^* L_2 \text{ if } L_i \text{ is a line bundle on } X_i \text{ and } \pi_i : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_i \text{ is the } i\text{-th projection}$$

$$S^h C = \text{the } h\text{th symmetric product of } C$$

$$T_n = \text{the group of } n\text{-torsion bundles on } C$$

1 Theta bundles

We recall here the definitions of the theta bundles on a fixed-determinant moduli space and on a full moduli space. Our definitions are slightly different from but equivalent to those in [DN].

For $L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$, the Picard group of $\mathcal{SM} := \mathcal{SM}(n, L)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ and the theta bundle θ on $\mathcal{SM}$ is the positive generator of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{SM})$.

When n and d are such that $\chi(E) = 0$ for $E \in \mathcal{M}(n, d)$, i. e., when $d = (g-1)n$, there is a natural divisor $\Theta \subset \mathcal{M}(n, n(g-1))$:

$$\Theta = \text{closure of } \{E \text{ stable in } \mathcal{M}(n, n(g-1)) \mid h^0(E) \neq 0\}.$$

The theta bundle θ over $\mathcal{M}(n, n(g-1))$ is the line bundle corresponding to this divisor.

We say that a semistable bundle F is *complementary* to another bundle E if $\chi(E \otimes F) = 0$. We also say that F is *complementary* to $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$ if $\chi(E \otimes F) = 0$ for any $E \in \mathcal{M}(n, d)$. It follows easily from the Riemann-Roch theorem that if $E \in \mathcal{M}(n, d)$, $h = \gcd(n, d)$, $n = h\bar{n}$, and $d = h\bar{d}$, then F has rank n_F and degree d_F , where

$$n_F = k\bar{n} \quad \text{and} \quad d_F = k(\bar{n}(g-1) - \bar{d})$$

for some positive integer k .

If F is complementary to $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$, let

$$\tau_F : \mathcal{M}(n, d) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(nn_F, nn_F(g-1))$$

be the map

$$E \mapsto E \otimes F.$$

Pulling back the theta bundle θ from $\mathcal{M}(nn_F, nn_F(g-1))$ via τ_F gives a line bundle $\theta_F := \tau_F^* \theta$ over $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$. (This bundle may or may not correspond to a divisor in $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$.) Let $\det : \mathcal{M}(n, d) \rightarrow J_d(C)$ be the determinant map. When $\text{rk } F$ is the minimal possible: $\text{rk } F = \bar{n} = n/h$, then θ_F is called a *theta bundle over $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$* ; otherwise, it is a multiple of a theta bundle. Indeed, we extract from [DN] the formula:

Proposition 3 *Let F and F_0 be two bundles complementary to $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$. If $\text{rk } F = a \text{ rk } F_0$, then*

$$\theta_F \simeq \theta_{F_0}^{\otimes a} \otimes \det^*(\det F \otimes (\det F_0)^{-a}),$$

where we employ the usual identification of $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ with $\text{Pic}^0(J_0)$.

In particular, θ_F depends only on $\text{rk } F$ and $\det F$.

If θ_F is a theta bundle on $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$, then for any $L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$, θ_F restricts to the theta bundle on $\mathcal{SM}(n, L)$.

2 A Galois covering

Let $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ be a covering of varieties, by which we mean a finite étale morphism. A *deck transformation* of the covering is an automorphism $\phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ that commutes with τ . The covering is said to be *Galois* if the group of deck transformations acts transitively (hence simply transitively) on a general fiber of the covering.

Denote by $J = \text{Pic}^0(C)$ the group of isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree 0 on the curve C , and $G = T_n$ the subgroup of torsion points of order n . Fix $L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$ and let $\mathcal{SM} = \mathcal{SM}(n, L)$, $J = J_0(C)$, and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(n, d)$. Recall that the tensor product map

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : \mathcal{SM} \times J &\rightarrow \mathcal{M} \\ (E, M) &\mapsto E \otimes M \end{aligned}$$

gives an n^{2g} -sheeted étale map ([TT], Prop. 8). The group $G = T_n$ acts on $\mathcal{SM} \times J$ by

$$N.(E, M) = (E \otimes N^{-1}, N \otimes M).$$

It is easy to see that G is the group of deck transformations of the covering τ and that it acts transitively on every fiber. Therefore, $\tau : \mathcal{SM} \times J \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a Galois covering.

Proposition 4 *If $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ is a Galois covering with finite abelian Galois group G , then $\tau_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ is a vector bundle on X which decomposes into a direct sum of line bundles indexed by the characters of G :*

$$\tau_*\mathcal{O}_Y = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} L_\lambda,$$

where $\hat{G}$ is the character group of G .

PROOF. Write $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_Y$. The fiber of $\tau_*\mathcal{O}$ at a point $x \in X$ is naturally a complex vector space with basis $\tau^{-1}(x)$. Hence, $\tau_*\mathcal{O}$ is a vector bundle over X . The action of G on $\tau^{-1}(x)$ induces a representation of G on $(\tau_*\mathcal{O})(x)$ equivalent to the regular representation. Because G is a finite abelian group, this representation of G decomposes into a direct sum of one-dimensional representations indexed by the characters of G :

$$(\tau_*\mathcal{O})(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} L_\lambda(x).$$

Thus, for every $\lambda \in \hat{G}$, we obtain a line bundle L_λ on X such such $\tau_*\mathcal{O} = \sum_\lambda L_\lambda$. $\square$

3 Pullbacks

We consider the tensor product map

$$\begin{aligned}\tau : \mathcal{SM}(n_1, L_1) \times \mathcal{M}(n_2, d_2) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}(n_1 n_2, n_1 d_2 + n_2 d_1) \\ (E_1, E_2) &\mapsto E_1 \otimes E_2,\end{aligned}$$

where $d_1 = \deg L_1$. For simplicity, in this section we write $\mathcal{SM}_1 = \mathcal{SM}(n_1, L_1)$, $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}(n_2, d_2)$, and $\mathcal{M}_{12} = \mathcal{M}(n_1 n_2, n_1 d_2 + n_2 d_1)$.

Proposition 5 *Let $F = F_{12}$ be a bundle on C complementary to $\mathcal{M}_{12}$. Then*

$$\tau^* \theta_F \simeq \theta^c \boxtimes \theta_{E_1 \otimes F}$$

for any $E_1 \in \mathcal{SM}(n_1, L_1)$, where

$$c := \frac{n_2 \operatorname{rk} F}{\operatorname{rk} F_1} = \frac{n_2 \operatorname{rk} F}{n_1 / \gcd(n_1, d_1)}$$

and F_1 is a minimal complementary bundle to E_1 .

Proof. For $E_2 \in \mathcal{M}(n_2, d_2)$, let

$$\tau_{E_2} : \mathcal{SM}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{12}$$

be tensoring with E_2 . Then

$$(\tau^* \theta_F)|_{\mathcal{SM} \times \{E_2\}} = \tau_{E_2}^* \theta_F = \tau_{E_2}^* \tau_F^* \theta = \tau_{E_2 \otimes F}^* \theta = \theta^c,$$

where by Proposition 3

$$\begin{aligned}c &= \operatorname{rk}(E_2 \otimes F) / \operatorname{rk} F_1 \\ &= \frac{n_2 \operatorname{rk} F}{n_1 / \gcd(n_1, d_1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}(\tau^* \theta_F)|_{\{E_1\} \times \mathcal{M}_2} &= \tau_{E_1}^* \theta_F = \tau_{E_1}^* \tau_F^* \theta \\ &= \tau_{E_1 \otimes F}^* \theta = \theta_{E_1 \otimes F}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the bundle $\theta_{E_1 \otimes F}$ depends only on $\operatorname{rk}(E_1 \otimes F) = n_1 \operatorname{rk} F$ and $\det(E_1 \otimes F) = L_1^{\operatorname{rk} F} \otimes (\det F)^{n_1}$. Hence, both $(\tau^* \theta_F)|_{\mathcal{SM}_1 \times \{E_2\}}$ and $(\tau^* \theta_F)|_{\{E_1\} \times \mathcal{M}_2}$ are independent of E_1 and E_2 . By the seesaw theorem,

$$\tau^* \theta_F \simeq \theta^c \boxtimes \theta_{E_1 \otimes F}.$$

□

Corollary 6 *Let $L \in \operatorname{Pic}^d(C)$ and*

$$\tau : \mathcal{SM}(n, L) \times J_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(n, d)$$

be the tensor product map. Suppose F is a minimal complementary bundle to $\mathcal{M}(n, d)$. Choose $N \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ to be a line bundle such that $N^n = L \otimes (\det F)^h$, where $h = \gcd(n, d)$. Then

$$\tau^* \theta_F = \theta \boxtimes \theta_N^{n^2/h}.$$

Proof. Apply the Proposition with $\text{rk } F = n/h$ and $n_1 = n, d_1 = d, n_2 = 1, d_2 = 0$. Then $c = 1$. By Proposition 3,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{E_1 \otimes F} &= \theta_N^{n^2/h} \otimes \det^*(\det(E_1 \otimes F) \otimes N^{-n^2/h}) \\ &= \theta_N^{n^2/h}.\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Proof of Theorem 1

We apply the Leray spectral sequence to compute the cohomology of $\tau^*\theta_F^k$ on the total space of the covering $\tau : \mathcal{SM} \times J \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of Section 2. Recall that $\mathcal{SM} = \mathcal{SM}(n, d)$, $J = J_0$, and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(n, d)$. Because the fibers of τ are 0-dimensional, the spectral sequence degenerates at the E_2 -term and we have

$$H^0(\mathcal{SM} \times J, \tau^*\theta_F^k) = H^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau_*\tau^*\theta_F^k). \quad (1)$$

By Cor. 6 and the Künneth formula, the left-hand side of (1) is

$$\begin{aligned}H^0(\mathcal{SM} \times J, \tau^*\theta_F^k) &= H^0(\mathcal{SM} \times J, \theta^k \boxtimes \theta_N^{kn^2/h}) \\ &= H^0(\mathcal{SM}, \theta^k) \otimes H^0(J, \theta_N^{kn^2/h}).\end{aligned}$$

By the Riemann-Roch theorem for an abelian variety,

$$h^0(J, \theta_N^{kn^2/h}) = (kn^2/h)^g.$$

So the left-hand side of (1) has dimension

$$h^0(\mathcal{SM}, \theta^k) \cdot (kn^2/h)^g. \quad (2)$$

Next we look at the right-hand side of (1). By the projection formula and Prop. 4,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_*\tau^*\theta_F^k &= \theta_F^k \otimes \tau_*\mathcal{O} \\ &= \theta_F^k \otimes \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} L_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} \theta_F^k \otimes L_\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

Our goal now is to show that for any character $\lambda \in \hat{G}$,

$$H^0(\mathcal{M}, \theta_F^k \otimes L_\lambda) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{M}, \theta_F^k). \quad (3)$$

This will follow from two lemmas.

Lemma 7 *The line bundle L_λ on $\mathcal{M}$ is the pullback under $\det : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow J_d$ of some line bundle N_λ of degree 0 on $J_d := \text{Pic}^d(C)$.*

Lemma 8 *For F a vector bundle as above, k a positive integer, and M a line bundle of degree 0 over C ,*

$$H^0(\mathcal{M}, \theta_{F \otimes M}^k) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{M}, \theta_F^k).$$

Assuming these two lemmas, let's prove (3). By Proposition 3,

$$\theta_{F \otimes M} = \theta_F \otimes \det^* M^{n_F};$$

hence,

$$\theta_{F \otimes M}^k = \theta_F^k \otimes \det^* M^{n_F k}.$$

If $L_\lambda = \det^* N_\lambda$, and we choose a root $M = N_\lambda^{1/(n_F k)}$, then

$$\theta_F^k \otimes L_\lambda = \theta_F^k \otimes \det^* N_\lambda = \theta_{F \otimes M}^k.$$

Equation (3) then follows from Lemma 8.

PROOF OF LEMMA 7. Define $\alpha : \mathcal{SM} \times J \rightarrow J$ to be the projection onto the second factor, $\beta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow J$ to be the composite of $\det : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow J_d$ followed by multiplication by $L^{-1} : J_d \rightarrow J$, and $\rho : J \rightarrow J$ to be the n -th tensor power map. Then there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{SM} \times J & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathcal{M} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ J & \xrightarrow{\rho} & J. \end{array}$$

Furthermore, in the map $\alpha : \mathcal{SM} \times J \rightarrow J$ we let $G = T_n$ act on J by

$$N.M = N \otimes M, \quad M \in J,$$

and in the map $\beta : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow J$ we let G act trivially on both $\mathcal{M}$ and J . Then all the maps in the commutative diagram above are G -morphisms.

By the push-pull formula ([H], Ch. III, Prop. 9.3, p. 255),

$$\tau_* \alpha^* \mathcal{O}_J = \beta^* \rho_* \mathcal{O}_J.$$

By Proposition 4, $\rho_* \mathcal{O}_J$ is a direct sum of line bundles V_λ on J , where $\lambda \in \hat{G}$. In fact, these V_λ are precisely the n -torsion bundles in J ; in particular, their degrees are zero. If $\tau_{L^{-1}} : J_d \rightarrow J$ is multiplication by the line bundle L^{-1} , we set $N_\lambda := \tau_{L^{-1}}^* V_\lambda$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{SM} \times J} &= \beta^* \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} V_\lambda \\ &= \det^* \tau_{L^{-1}}^* \sum V_\lambda \\ &= \sum \det^* N_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By Prop. 4, $\tau_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{SM} \times J} = \sum L_\lambda$. Since both L_λ and $\det^* N_\lambda$ are eigenbundles of $\tau_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{SM} \times J}$ corresponding to the character $\lambda \in \hat{G}$,

$$L_\lambda = \det^* N_\lambda.$$

□

PROOF OF LEMMA 8. Tensoring with $M \in J_0(C)$ gives an automorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_M : \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \mathcal{M} \\ E &\mapsto E \otimes M,\end{aligned}$$

under which

$$\theta_{F \otimes M} = \tau_M^* \theta_F.$$

Hence,

$$\theta_{F \otimes M}^k = \tau_M^*(\theta_F^k)$$

and the lemma follows. □

Returning now to Eq. (1), its right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}H^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau_* \tau^* \theta_F^k) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} H^0(\mathcal{M}, \theta_F^k \otimes L_\lambda) \\ &\simeq \sum_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} H^0(\mathcal{M}, \theta_F^k), \quad (\text{by (3)})\end{aligned}$$

which has dimension

$$h^0(\mathcal{M}, \theta_F^k) \cdot n^{2g}.$$

By (2) the left-hand side of Eq. (1) has dimension

$$h^0(\mathcal{SM}, \theta^k) \cdot (kn^2/h)^g.$$

Equating these two expressions gives

$$h^0(\mathcal{M}, \theta_F^k) = h^0(\mathcal{SM}, \theta^k) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{h}\right)^g.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

5 A conjectural duality

As in the Introduction we start with integers $\bar{n}$, $\bar{d}$, h , k such that $\bar{n}$, h , k are positive and $\gcd(\bar{n}, \bar{d}) = 1$. Take

$$n_1 = h\bar{n}, \quad d_1 = h\bar{d}, \quad n_2 = k\bar{n}, \quad d_2 = k(\bar{n}(g-1) - \bar{d}), \quad \text{and } L_1 \in \text{Pic}^{d_1}(C).$$

The tensor product induces a map

$$\tau : \mathcal{SM}(n_1, L_1) \times \mathcal{M}(n_2, d_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(n_1 n_2, n_1 n_2 (g-1)).$$

As before, write $\mathcal{SM}_1 = \mathcal{SM}(n_1, L_1)$, $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}(n_2, d_2)$, and $\mathcal{M}_{12} = \mathcal{M}(n_1 n_2, n_1 n_2 (g-1))$. Let $F_2 = F$ and $F_{12} = \mathcal{O}$ be complementary to $\mathcal{M}_2$ and $\mathcal{M}_{12}$ respectively.

By the pullback formula (Proposition 5)

$$\tau^* \theta_{\mathcal{O}} = \theta^{n_2/\bar{n}} \boxtimes \theta_{E_1}.$$

But by Proposition 3,

$$\theta_{E_1} = \theta_F^h \otimes \det^*(L \otimes (\det F)^{-h}).$$

If $L = (\det F)^h$, then $\theta_{E_1} = \theta_F^h$ and

$$\tau^* \theta_{\mathcal{O}} = \theta^k \boxtimes \theta_F^h.$$

By the Künneth formula,

$$H^0(\mathcal{SM}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2, \tau^* \theta_{\mathcal{O}}) = H^0(\mathcal{SM}_1, \theta^k) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{M}_2, \theta_F^h).$$

In [BNR] it is shown that up to a constant, $\theta_{\mathcal{O}}$ has a unique section s over $\mathcal{M}_{12}$. Then $\tau^* s$ is a section of $H^0(\mathcal{SM}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2, \tau^* \theta_{\mathcal{O}})$ and therefore induces a natural map

$$H^0(\mathcal{SM}_1, \theta^k)^\vee \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{M}_2, \theta_F^h). \quad (4)$$

We conjecture that this natural map is an isomorphism.

Among the evidence for the duality (4), we cite the following.

i) (Rank 1 bundles) The results of [BNR] that

$$H^0(\mathcal{SM}(n, \mathcal{O}), \theta)^\vee \simeq H^0(\mathcal{M}(1, g-1), \theta_{\mathcal{O}}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(\mathcal{M}(n, n(g-1)), \theta_{\mathcal{O}}) = \mathbb{C},$$

are special cases of (4), for $(n_2, d_2) = (1, g-1)$ and $(n_1, d_1) = (1, 0)$ respectively.

ii) (Consistency with Theorem 1) Given a triple of integers (n_1, d_1, k) , we define $h, \bar{n}, \bar{d}$ by

$$h = \gcd(n_1, d_1), n_1 = h\bar{n}, d_1 = h\bar{d}$$

and let n_2, d_2 be as before:

$$n_2 = k\bar{n}, d_2 = k(\bar{n}(g-1) - \bar{d}).$$

Assuming n_1 and k to be positive, it is easy to check that the function

$$(n_1, d_1, k) \mapsto (n_2, d_2, h)$$

is an involution. Write $v(n, d, k) = h^0(\mathcal{M}(n, d), \theta_F^k)$ and $s(n, d, k) = h^0(\mathcal{SM}(n, d), \theta^k)$. Then Theorem 1 assumes the form

$$v(n, d, k) \cdot h^g = s(n, d, k) \cdot k^g. \quad (5)$$

The duality (4) implies that there is an equality of dimensions

$$s(n_1, d_1, k) = v(n_2, d_2, h). \quad (6)$$

Because $(n_1, d_1, k) \mapsto (n_2, d_2, h)$ is an involution, it follows that

$$s(n_2, d_2, h) = v(n_1, d_1, k). \quad (7)$$

Putting (5), (6), and (7) together, we get

$$v(n_2, d_2, h)k^g = s(n_2, d_2, h)h^g,$$

which is Theorem 1 again.

iii) (Elliptic curves) We keep the notation above, specialized to the case of a curve C of genus $g = 1$:

$$n_1 = h\bar{n}, d_1 = h\bar{d}, n_2 = k\bar{n}, d_2 = -k\bar{d}.$$

Set $C' := \text{Pic}^{\bar{d}}(C)$. The map sending a line bundle to its dual gives an isomorphism $C' \simeq \text{Pic}^{-\bar{d}}(C)$. If $L \in \text{Pic}^{\bar{d}}(C)$, viewed as a line bundle on C , we let ℓ be the corresponding point in C' , and $\mathcal{O}_{C'}(\ell)$ the associated line bundle of degree 1 on the curve C' . There is a natural map

$$\gamma : \text{Pic}^{h\bar{d}}(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^h(C')$$

which sends $L := L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_h \in \text{Pic}^{h\bar{d}}(C)$ to $L' := \mathcal{O}_{C'}(\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_h)$, where $L_i \in \text{Pic}^{\bar{d}}(C)$ corresponds to the point $\ell_i \in C'$.

From [A] and [T] we see that there are natural identifications

$$\mathcal{M}(h\bar{n}, h\bar{d}) \simeq S^h \mathcal{M}(\bar{n}, \bar{d}) \simeq S^h \text{Pic}^{\bar{d}}(C) = S^h C'$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(k\bar{n}, -k\bar{d}) \simeq S^k \mathcal{M}(\bar{n}, -\bar{d}) \simeq S^k \text{Pic}^{-\bar{d}}(C) \simeq S^k C'.$$

Furthermore, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(h\bar{n}, h\bar{d}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & S^h C' \\ \det \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \text{Pic}^{h\bar{d}}(C) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \text{Pic}^h(C'). \end{array}$$

Since the fiber of the Abel-Jacobi map $\alpha : S^h C' \rightarrow \text{Pic}^h(C')$ above L' is the projective space $\mathbb{P}H^0(C', L')$, it follows that there is a natural identification

$$\mathcal{SM}(h\bar{n}, L) \simeq \mathbb{P}H^0(C', L').$$

Since the theta bundle is the positive generator of $\mathcal{SM}(h\bar{n}, L)$, it is the hyperplane bundle. For $F \in \mathcal{M}(\bar{n}, \bar{d})$, let $q \in C'$ be the point corresponding to the line bundle $Q := \det F \in \text{Pic}^{\bar{d}}(C)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{SM}(h\bar{n}, (\det F)^h), \theta^k) &\simeq H^0(\mathbb{P}H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(hq)), \mathcal{O}(k)) \\ &= S^k H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(hq))^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that each point $q \in C'$ determines a divisor X_q on the symmetric product $S^k C'$:

$$X_q := \{q + D \mid D \in S^{k-1} C'\}.$$

The proof of Theorem 6 in [T] actually shows that if $F \in \mathcal{M}(\bar{n}, -\bar{d})$, then under the identification $\mathcal{M}(k\bar{n}, -k\bar{d}) \simeq S^k C'$, the theta bundle θ_F corresponds to the bundle associated to the divisor X_q on $S^k C'$, where q is the point corresponding to $\det F \in \text{Pic}^{\bar{d}}$. Therefore, by the calculation of the cohomology of a symmetric product in [T]

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{M}(k\bar{n}, -k\bar{d}), \theta_F^h) &= H^0(S^k C', \mathcal{O}(hX_q)) \\ &= S^k H^0(C', \mathcal{O}(hq)). \end{aligned}$$

So the two spaces $H^0(\mathcal{SM}(h\bar{n}, (\det F)^h), \theta^k)$ and $H^0(\mathcal{M}(k\bar{n}, -k\bar{d}), \theta_F^h)$ are naturally dual to each other.

- iv) (Degree 0 bundles) Consider the moduli space $\mathcal{SM}(n, 0)$ of rank n and degree 0 bundles. In this case,

$$n_1 = n, \quad d_1 = 0, \quad h = \gcd(n, 0) = n, \quad n_2 = k, \quad d_2 = k(g - 1).$$

So the conjectural duality is

$$H^0(\mathcal{SM}(n, \mathcal{O}), \theta^k)^\vee \simeq H^0(\mathcal{M}(k, k(g - 1)), \theta_{\mathcal{O}}^n).$$

Because $\mathcal{M}(k, k(g - 1))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(k, 0)$ (though noncanonically), it follows that in the notation of ii)

$$s(n, 0, k) = v(k, 0, n).$$

According to R. Bott and A. Szenes, this equality follows from Verlinde's formula.

References

- [A] M. Atiyah, Vector bundles over an elliptic curve, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **7** (1957), 414-452.
- [BNR] A. Beauville, M. S. Narasimhan, and S. Ramanan, Spectral curves and the generalized theta divisor, *J. reine angew. Math.* **398** (1989), 169-179.
- [DN] J.-M. Drezet and M. S. Narasimhan, Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques, *Invent. Math.* **97** (1989), 53-94.
- [F] G. Faltings, A proof of the Verlinde formula, preprint.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [TT] M. Teixidor and L. W. Tu, Theta divisors for vector bundles, in *Curves, Jacobians, and Abelian Varieties*, Contemporary Mathematics **136** (1992), 327-342.
- [T] L. W. Tu, Semistable bundles over an elliptic curve, to appear in *Advances in Mathematics*.